#### **EUROPEAN COMMISSION**



DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Directorate H. Sustainability and Quality of Agriculture and Rural Development **H.5. Research and Innovation** 

# **EIP-AGRI Common format for interactive innovation projects**

The interactive innovation approach under the European Innovation Partnership Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability (EIP-AGRI)<sup>[1]</sup> fosters the development of demand-driven innovation, turning creative new ideas into practical applications thanks to interactions between partners, the sharing of knowledge and effective intermediation and dissemination.

The EIP **common format** consists of a set of basic elements characterising the project and includes **one (or more) "practice abstract"(s)**. The format was developed with two main objectives:

- (1) to enable contacting partners and incentivise efficient knowledge exchange, and
- (2) to <u>disseminate the results</u> of the project in a concise and easy understandable way to practitioners.

The common format allows providing information all along the life-cycle of the project. The **content of the common format can be updated at any moment** when useful, for instance in an intermediate phase of the project. Project information should at least be available <u>at the beginning</u> (describing the situation at the start of the project, including project title and objectives) and <u>at the end of the project</u> (describing the results/recommendations resulting from the project, including a final project report and one or more practice abstracts).

The **common format** consists in obligatory, recommended and optional elements. Its fields are listed

- 1) Obligatory elements
- **Title** of the project <u>in native language</u>: short and easily understandable (one key sentence on the project; max 150 characters, word count no spaces)
- **Title** of the project <u>in English</u>: short and easily understandable (one key sentence on the project; max 150 characters, word count no spaces)
- **Editor** of the text: person/organisation responsible for delivering the text
- **Project coordinator** (lead-partner) according to the cooperation/consortium agreement: name, address, e-mail, telephone
- **Project partners**: name, address, e-mail, telephone, type of partner (farm holder, advisor, research institute, SME, NGO, or other)
- **Keyword-category** (to be chosen from a pre-defined list of categories)
- **Project period** (starting date, end date)
- **Project status**: ongoing (after selection of the project) or completed (after final payment)
- Main **funding source** (Rural development programme, H2020, or other EU, national/regional or private funds)

- **Total budget** of the project
- **Geographical location** where the main project activities take place: NUTS 3 level, to enable contacting within/between a climatic/regional entities
- **Final report** (in the form of an annex), including a substantial description of the results obligatory once the project is completed to be drafted according to the requirements specific for the funding source

## • Practice "abstract":

- **Objective** of the project in <u>native language</u>: what problems/opportunities does the project address that are relevant for the practitioner/end-user, and how will they be solved? (300-600 characters, word count no spaces)
- **Objective** of the project in <u>English</u>: what problems/opportunities does the project address that are relevant for the practitioner/end-user, and how will they be solved? (300-600 characters, word count no spaces)
- **Short summary for practitioners** in <u>native language</u> on the (<u>final or expected</u>) outcomes (1000-1500 characters, word count no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main **results/outcomes** of the activity (expected or final)
- The **main practical recommendation(s)**: what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a <u>direct and easy understandable language</u> and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Several practice abstracts may be needed for one project, depending on the size of the project and the number of outcomes/recommendations which are ready for practice.

## 2) Recommended elements

- **Description of project activities** in <u>native language</u>: (max 600 characters, word count no spaces): short summary highlighting main project activities.
- **Description of project activities** <u>in English</u>: (max 600 characters, word count no spaces): short summary highlighting main project activities.
- Short summary for practitioners in English: short summary according to guidance (see box above under "practice abstract"; 1000-1500 characters, word count no spaces)
- **Audiovisual material** which is useful and attractive for practitioners (e.g. YouTube link, videos, other dissemination material)

- Website of the project (URL)
- **Links to other website(s)** hosting information on the project (results) that are available <u>after</u> the project has ended, by preference using the existing local/regional/national communication channels that practitioners most often use.

# 3) Optional elements

- [additional fields are available for additional practice abstracts]: **Practice "abstract"** in native language: short summary according to the guidance in the text box above (max. 1500 characters, word count no spaces)]
- [additional fields are available for additional practice abstracts]: **Practice "abstract"** <u>in</u> <u>English</u>: short summary according to the guidance in the text box above (max. 1500 characters, word count no spaces)]
- **Description of the context of the project** (e.g. drivers in legislation/ markets or other causes that were at the origin of the project, etc.)
- **Additional information** on the project as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed monitoring purposes)
- Additional comments: free text field which can be used by the editor e.g. for listing facilitating elements or obstacles for the implementation of the produced results, for suggestions for future actions/research, for messages to consumers, etc.

#### **Context:**

Rural Development Policy 2014-2020 and the European Union Research and Innovation Policy "Horizon 2020" both aim at demand-driven innovation and complement each other in providing opportunities for EIP interactive innovation projects. Rural development programmes are applied within a specific programme region, whilst H2020 research goes beyond this scale by funding innovative actions at transnational level. Rural development Operational Groups and interactive and practice-oriented projects under Horizon 2020, such as multi-actor projects and thematic networks, will feed the EIP-AGRI web database for practitioners using the common format.

Under the EIP-AGRI, synergies and complementarities have been developed between the Horizon 2020 EU research policy and the rural development policy under the CAP. Therefore, they all use the same EIP-AGRI web database. Moreover, all Horizon 2020 multi-actor projects are strongly recommended to involve relevant interactive innovation groups operating in the EIP-AGRI context, such as rural development Operational Groups. Multi-actor projects may provide potential innovative material to rural development Operational Groups for further development and vice versa. The EIP-AGRI network is there to link them.

Why an EIP-AGRI common format?

Communicating about projects, activities and results - both during and after the project's lifetime – at the EU level is much easier through the use of a common format for practice-oriented projects. Such common format **facilitates the knowledge flow and enables contacting** of farmers, researchers and all other actors involved in innovation projects. The content of the common format was developed and agreed at EU level thanks to the work of the Standing Committee for Agricultural Research (SCAR)<sup>[2]</sup>. Using the common format for practice oriented projects will also give visibility to actors involved and enable measuring impact and rewarding of researchers' work for practice, in an analogue approach to research abstracts in peer reviewed journals.

How will the information in the EIP common format be shared?

The **EIP-AGRI** website<sup>[3]</sup> will host and share the information at the EU level.. The EIP-AGRI common format is recommended to all projects that wish to provide information on their concrete outcomes for practitioners. These include interactive and practice-oriented innovation projects funded by sources other than rural development programmes and Horizon 2020, for instance national/regional funding, Interreg, etc.

# Which projects will use the common format?

The common element between the 3 types of projects listed below in more detail is that they all envisage implementing the EIP-AGRI interactive innovation approach, and all deliver outputs that are expected to be useful for practitioners.

# 1. Multi-actor projects

The H2020 **multi-actor approach**<sup>[4]</sup> aims at demand-driven innovation: research projects' objectives and planning are targeted to needs/problems and opportunities of end-users, and should result in practical knowledge which is easy understandable and accessible. The approach requires that end-users and multipliers of research results, such as farmers, farmers' groups or advisors are closely involved throughout the whole project period. This should lead to innovative solutions that are more likely to be applied in the field, because those who need the solutions will be involved right from the start and will bring in complementary practical knowledge: from defining the questions, to planning, to implementing research work, to experiment and right up until possible demonstration and dissemination.

#### 2. Thematic networks

**Thematic networks**<sup>[5]</sup> are a particular format of multi-actor projects that aims to compile knowledge ready for practice in a specific field. This knowledge should be easily understandable for practitioners, stay available beyond the project period, and also be shared through the EIP-AGRI network. Thematic networks will summarise and present best practices and research results with a focus on themes and issues that are "near to be put into practice", but not sufficiently known yet by practitioners.

# 3. EIP Operational Groups

**Operational Groups**<sup>[6]</sup> are multi-actor projects funded under the rural development policy. They have an obligation to make the plans and results of their work available for others in the EIP network to use. The use of the EIP-AGRI common format for reporting on operational group projects through the EIP-AGRI network will definitely play an important role in this regard, as it will help connecting Operational Groups funded under rural development with Horizon 2020 research consortia on specific topics and themes.

What are common elements for the "interactive" innovation projects developed under the EIP-AGRI? In the interactive innovation model, building blocks for innovation are expected to come from science, but also from practice and intermediaries, such as farmers, advisors, businesses, NGOs, etc. Key for interactive innovation is to include existing (sometimes tacit) knowledge into building innovative solutions, which is crucial for tackling complex challenges in a holistic approach. In interactive innovation projects, end-users and practitioners are involved, not as a study-object, but in view of using their entrepreneurial skills and practical knowledge for developing the solution or opportunity and creating co-ownership. Innovation generated with an interactive approach tends to deliver solutions that are well adapted to real circumstances and easier to implement since the wider participation speeds up the acceptance and dissemination of new ideas. In short, the focus of interactive innovation is: "an idea put into practice with success". A new idea turns into a genuine innovation only if it is widely adopted and proves its usefulness in practice.

# EIP-AGRI: "Ideas, put into practice, with success"

# Having potential innovative knowledge is one thing, turning it into reality is

- [1] The European Innovation Partnership for Agricultural productivity and Sustainability (EIP-AGRI) was launched by the European Commission in 2012. It aims to foster a competitive and sustainable agriculture and forestry sector that "achieves more from less": http://ec.europa.eu/eip/agriculture/en/content/eip-agri-part-
- [2] The SCAR Strategic Working Group on Agricultural Knowledge and Innovation Systems (SWG AKIS) developed the common format on the basis of experience in Member States
- [3] http://ec.europa.eu/eip/agriculture/
- [4] http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/wp/2016\_2017/main/h2020-wp1617-food\_en.pdf p.10 definition of multi-actor approach
- [5] http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/wp/2016\_2017/main/h2020-wp1617-food\_en.pdf p.139 Thematic Networks compiling knowledge ready for practice
- [6] See section 4.1 of the rural development EIP guidelines http://ec.europa.eu/eip/agriculture/sites/agrieip/files/pb\_guidelines\_eip\_implementation\_2014\_en.pdf

# Instructions for the completion of the 'EIP-AGRI common format' template

This section provides information on how to use and complete the 'EIP-AGRI common format' template. For general information and requirements on the common format, please refer to the official EC guidelines (see first tab of this Excel document entitled 'EIP-AGRI common format').

Please note that this Excel template to report on Operational Groups is provisional for rural development managing authorities. In the coming months, reporting will be possible through the main SFC interface. No data will be lost in this transition.

Please note that this Excel sheet may also *help RD managing authorities to collect the required information from Operational Groups* before transmission through SFC.

All <u>obligatory</u>, <u>recommended</u> and <u>optional</u> elements requested in the official guidelines can be submitted through this template.

The template is composed of different sections. Here below you can find a correlation table indicating which information required by the official guidelines is to be provided under each section of the Excel template.

Required information (in the sequence as foreseen in the official RD guidelines on the EIP-AGRI common format)	Section of the Excel template	Type of information
Title (native language)	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Title (in English)	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Editor	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Project coordinator	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Project partners	PARTNERS	Obligatory
Keyword-category	KEYWORDS	Obligatory
Project period	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Project status	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Funding source	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Total budget	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Main geographical location (NUTS3)	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Other geographical location	PROJECT INFORMATION	Optional
Other geographical location	PROJECT INFORMATION	Optional
Final report	(to be added as annex)	Obligatory
Objective of the project (native language)	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory
Objective of the project (in English)	PROJECT INFORMATION	Obligatory

Short summary for practitioners (Practice abstract) in native language	PA1	Obligatory
Description of project activities (native language)	PROJECT INFORMATION	Recommended
Description of project activities (in English)	PROJECT INFORMATION	Recommended
Short summary for practitioners (Practice abstract) in English	PA1	Recommended
Audiovisual material	AUDIOVISUAL	Recommended
Website	WEBSITES	Recommended
Links to other websites	WEBSITES	Recommended
Additional practice abstracts (native language)	PA2 to PA20	Optional
Additional practice abstracts (in English)	PA2 to PA20	Optional
Description of the context	PROJECT INFORMATION	Optional
Additional information	PROJECT INFORMATION	Optional
Additional comments	PROJECT INFORMATION	Optional

# Who should complete the EIP-AGRI Common format and when?

As pointed out in the official guidelines, the common format allows providing information **all along the life-cycle of the project**, so its content can be updated at any moment when useful. It is recommended that information on projects is at least made available <u>at the beginning</u> and <u>at the end</u> of the project, and at specific intermediate occasions as foreseen by the responsible managing authority.

It is expected that the main information on the project is provided by the Operational Group and collected by RDP managing authorities who will transmit this information through SFC via the common format. In principle, a lot of information (for example: title of the project, objective of the project, description of project activities, total budget, etc.) will be provided by the beneficiaries already through the application form when applying for RDP funding.

This information will then be ready for delivery once the contract between the Managing Authority and the Operational Groups is signed and the project can start. Later on in the lifetime of the project, managing authorities might ask the **project coordinators** to update the information provided in the common format. This updating will be particularly needed with regard to the intermediate and final results under the form of "practice abstract", and eventually the final report.

N.B.: Managing authorities will be responsible to transmit the updated information through SFC.

Project identifier – for transmission of the common format through SFC

It is of key importance that all info on the Operational Group's project submitted through SFC is identified with a unique number linked to the specific Operational Group.

This version of the template doesn't allow yet - as will be the case in SFC soon - to automatically attribute a unique number to the submitted files. In this transitional phase, to ensure data can be easily retrieved especially in case of future updates, it is therefore of utmost importance that each Excel sheet related to an Operational Group includes a project ID according to the following rules:

# $CCI \ code \ \_EIP \ \_n \ \_v.x$

where:

n = unique project number (unique for the Operational Group)

x = version number (for updating project information on the same Operational Group)

Examples:

2014AT06RDNP001\_EIP\_001\_v.1

2014AT06RDNP001\_EIP\_001\_v.2

2014AT06RDNP001\_EIP\_002\_v.1

Etc.

This project identifier should be provided in the field named "Project ID" under the section PROJECT INFORMATION

## **Printing instructions**

To print one or more worksheets of this Excel template: click on '*Print*' under the menu '*File*' (or Ctrl + P) and then select the appropriate option under '*Settings*'

It is recommended to perform a spell-check before the printing or submission of the template

# **Project Information**

Project identifier (see INSTRUCTIONS)	
<b>Title</b> of the project <u>in native language</u> : short and easily understandable (one key sentence on the project; max 150 characters, word count – no spaces)	
<b>Title</b> of the project <u>in English</u> : short and easily understandable (one key sentence on the project; max 150 characters, word count – no spaces)	
Geographical location	
Country Rural Development Programme Main geographical location (NUTS3) Other geographical location (NUTS3) Other geographical location (NUTS3)	
<b>Editor</b> of the text: person/organisation responsible for delivering the text	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ding to the cooperation/consortium agreement:
Name Address	
E-mail	
Telephone	
Project period: starting date (YYYY)	
end date (YYYY)	
<b>Project status</b> : ongoing (after selection of the project) <u>or</u> completed (after final payment)	
Main <b>funding source</b> (Rural development programme, H2020, or other EU, national/regional or private funds)	
Total budget of the project (in euros)	
<b>Objective</b> of the project in English: what problems/opportunities does the project address that are relevant for the practitioner/end-user, and how will they be solved? - (300-600 characters, word count – no spaces)	

Objective of the project in native language: what problems/opportunities does the project address that are relevant for the practitioner/end-user, and how will they be solved? - (300-600 characters, word count – no spaces)	
Description of project activities in English: (max 600 characters, word count – no spaces): short summary highlighting main project activities.	
Description of project activities in native language: (max 600 characters, word count – no spaces): short summary highlighting main project activities.	

Description of the context of the	
<pre>project (e.g. drivers in legislation/</pre>	
markets or other causes that were at the	
origin of the project, etc.)	
Additional information on the present	
Additional information on the project	
as required by the specific guidance at	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	
as required by the specific guidance at national / regional level (e.g. for detailed	

Additional comments: free text field which can be used by the editor e.g. for listing facilitating elements or obstacles for the implementation of the produced results, for suggestions for future actions/research, for messages to consumers, etc.	

Project partners (mandatory information)

	Name	Address	E-mail	Telephone	Type of partner
project coordinator (lead partner) from PROJECT INFORMATION					
project partner					
project partner					
project partner					
project partner					
project partner					
project partner					
project partner					
project partner					
project partner					

Keyword - category

Keyword - category 1	
Keyword - category 2	
Keyword - category 3	
Keyword - category 4	
Keyword - category 5	
Keyword - category 6	
Keyword - category 7	
Keyword - category 8	
Keyword - category 9	
Keyword - category 10	

**Audiovisual material** which is useful and attractive for practitioners (e.g. YouTube link, videos, other dissemination material)

Recommended

Title/description (in English)	URL	Additional comments
	http://	

Website of the project Recommended

Title/description	URL	Additional comments
	http://	

**Links to other website(s)** hosting information on the project (results) that are available after the project has ended, by preference using the existing local/regional/national communication channels that practitioners most often use.

Recommended

Title/description	URL	Additional comments
	http://	

#### Practice "abstract" 1:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

# Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical

**recommendation(s)**: what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 2:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using <u>a</u> <u>direct and easy understandable language</u> and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

Short summary for practitioners
in native language on the (final or
expected) outcomes (1000-1500
characters, word count - no
spaces).

#### Practice "abstract" 3:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 4:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using <u>a</u> <u>direct and easy understandable language</u> and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 5:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using <u>a</u> <u>direct and easy understandable language</u> and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 6:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 7:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 8:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 9:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using <u>a</u> <u>direct and easy understandable language</u> and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 10:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using <u>a</u> <u>direct and easy understandable language</u> and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 11:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 12:

**Short summary for practitioners** in english on the (final or expected) outcomes (1000-1500 characters, word count - no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

**Short summary for practitioners** in native language on the (final or

characters, word count – no spaces).  This summary should at least contain the following information:  Main results/outcomes of the activity expected or final)  The main practical ecommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as a clirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the inderstanding of the practice itself should be avoided.	expected) outcomes (1000-1500
This summary should at least contain the following information:  Main results/outcomes of the activity expected or final)  The main practical ecommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	characters, word count – no
This summary should at least contain the following information:  Main results/outcomes of the activity expected or final)  The main practical ecommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	spaces).
collowing information:  Main results/outcomes of the activity expected or final)  The main practical ecommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented ispects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
collowing information:  Main results/outcomes of the activity expected or final)  The main practical ecommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented ispects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	his summary should at least contain the
Main results/outcomes of the activity expected or final) The main practical ecommendation(s): what would be the nain added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner nake use of the results? This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented ispects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	•
expected or final)  The main practical ecommendation(s): what would be the nain added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner nake use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented ispects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	=
The main practical ecommendation(s): what would be the nain added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner nake use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented ispects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	·
ecommendation(s): what would be the nain added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner nake use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	,
nain added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner nake use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as a cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	•
the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	` ,
implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?  This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	
This summary should be as interesting as cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	· ·
This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	·
cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	nake use of the results:
cossible for farmers/end-users, using a lirect and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	his summary should be as interesting as
direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	
and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	· •
which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	
practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	
productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	
spects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should	, 5
inderstanding of the practice itself should	
• .	

#### Practice "abstract" 13:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using <u>a</u> <u>direct and easy understandable language</u> and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 14:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 15:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 16:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 17:

**Short summary for practitioners** in english on the (final or expected) outcomes (1000-1500 characters, word count - no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

**Short summary for practitioners** in native language on the (final or exp cha spa

This follo

- (exp
- rec mai the imp ma

This pos <u>dire</u> and whi pra pro asp und be

pected) outcomes (1000-1500
aracters, word count – no
aces).
s summary should at least contain the
owing information:  Main results/outcomes of the activity
pected or final)
The main practical
ommendation(s): what would be the
n added value/benefit/opportunities to
end-user if the generated knowledge is lemented? How can the practitioner
ke use of the results?
s summary should be as interesting as
sible for farmers/end-users, using a
ct and easy understandable language pointing out entrepreneurial elements
ch are particularly relevant for
ctitioners (e.g. related to cost,
ductivity etc). Research oriented
ects which do not help the erstanding of the practice itself should
erstanding of the practice itself should avoided.
2101000.

#### Practice "abstract" 18:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 19:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using <u>a</u> <u>direct and easy understandable language</u> and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

#### Practice "abstract" 20:

Short summary for practitioners in <u>english</u> on the <u>(final or expected)</u> <u>outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?

This summary should be as interesting as possible for farmers/end-users, using a direct and easy understandable language and pointing out entrepreneurial elements which are particularly relevant for practitioners (e.g. related to cost, productivity etc). Research oriented aspects which do not help the understanding of the practice itself should be avoided.

Short summary for practitioners in <u>native language</u> on the <u>(final or expected) outcomes</u> (1000-1500 characters, word count – no spaces).

This summary should at least contain the following information:

- Main results/outcomes of the activity (expected or final)
- The main practical recommendation(s): what would be the main added value/benefit/opportunities to the end-user if the generated knowledge is implemented? How can the practitioner make use of the results?