



What's working well

(FR) High uptake rate, on Eco Schemes and also AECMs.

(PL) uptake level was as expected. Made budgetting easier

(GR) Broadened the environmental measures with the Eco-schemes - allowed a 'new

(AT) some increase in bio-diverstiy areas (work in progress)

(AT) Uptake positive. Nature conservation interactions positive.

(GR) Good experience with advisors

(AT) Results based schemes gaining traction

What's working less well

(GR) high uptake - more than double expectations. Has caused budget pressures and possible problems with the next years payments N.B. Also seen in other MS (PL) Farmers protesting against 'new' conditionalites. Some changes will be necessary due to EC prompted changes to conditionality

(AT) complex structure of schemes - on the other hand more flexibility is wanted-to accomodate different conditions & different agro-climatic situations

(AT) Complexity not only the schemes themselves and the existence of 'top-ups'. Also information required for the EC complex

(NL) Moving towards use of KPIs to use. Farmers set goals and all agree the KPIs. Now piloting use of KPIs with several different schemes. Planned to roll this out nationally next start' year

(SE) Taking schemes out of EU co-financing can simplify administration (and reduce risks with controls) (Commented by others) However it might cause issues with State Aid.

(DE) challenges, especially in the 1st year with - GAEC 8 - matching P1 and

(AT) it is difficult to communicate changes in schemes based on early evaluations and clearer environmental ambitions

(DE) A challenge is that making too many changes to schemes can make it more difficult to (i) measure results and (ii) communicate effectively to both farmers and advisory services

Good practices



(PL & others) Very important (and challenging is the triangulation between the concurrent needs for: simplification achievement (and ambition) and control

(EST) Different partners hosting and promoting a film festival. Actions are promoting improved uptake. Other measures (working in a complementary way) is important

> (EST) working Group with different stakeholders works well. Good info for MA

(EST) Good examples from the previous programming period. Organic Ag and for apiculture (good relations between farmers and bee keepers)

> It IS difficult to simplify schemes when the issues are complex. Better to explain more to farmers and to provide discrete

options for farmers

(GR) Complex new

system. In many

cases had to start

from scratch.

Difficulties with

(new) IT system"(s)

PARE WALL

(GR) Farmers expected that they should simply receive money for eco-schemes to balance reduction in (other) direct payments. N.B. this issue [sense of entitlement] has been seen in other MS

> (Several MS) 'Simplification' is very difficult in the face of Controls by the EC. For exmple with Agro-forestry

(DE) Some Issues with regionalisation. Difficult to assess what is happening at the Federal level. Each region wants a bigger share of the the overall budget

(EST) not a lot of experience with wetland schemes and for agro-forestry