

CLLD/LEADER one step beyond?

Ioanna Triantafyllidou Territorial Development Unit Managing Authority For Greek CAP SP











Our initial target

- Multi-fund approach with the use of all available EU funds
- Many meetings with all involved parties (Managing Authorities, Paying Agencies and LAGs)

The results

- Common call for the selection of EAFRD & EMFF LDSs
- Complimentary call for the selection of ESF LDSs
- 16 mono-fund LDSs (1 EMFF, 1 ESF, 14 EAFRD), 31%
- 26 two-fund LDSs {22 (EAFRD+EMFF), 4 (EAFRD+ESF)}, 50%
- 10 three-fund LDSs (10 EAFRD+EMFF+ESF), 19%
- 1 call for ESF & ERDF LDSs in Peloponnese Region → 1 LDS











The main problems

- Delays in the approval of LDSs
- Complicated implementation
- Different calls for projects, different progress
- Administrative burden due to the involvement of different MAs, PAs
- Different rules for each fund (regulations and national framework)
- Different guidelines and IT systems for each fund
- The strategy was common, but the implementation was separated decreasing the added value of multi-fund approach

Our suggestions

- Common regulation and national legal framework for all funds under CLLD/LEADER
- One Managing Authority and one Paying Agency
- One IT system and common procedures / rules
- Common call of proposals and possibility of projects funded by more than one fund
- Simplification of the implementation in all stages including evaluation













Thank you









