

CLLD in different funds in Finland

Rural LAGs EAFRD (but not only)

- Monofund CLLD, but LAGs have **multifund approach** in LDSs
- LAGs can apply project based funding from other funds (eg. ESF, EFRD)
- Note: LAGs operational area can include (small) towns – urban and rural CLLD overlap

Fisheries FLAGs EMFF

- FLAGs work closely together with rural LAGs
- One Selection Committee for LAGs and FLAGs

"Citizen-led" local development ESF (and ERDF)

- 2014-2020 14 **urban** "CLLD" pilots, some conducted by rural LAGs
- 2021-2027 increased use of SCOs and encouragement to apply for funding for small actors and NGOs, including rural LAGs

CLLD "roundtable" Strategic national level working group

- To enhance CLLD and co-operation in different funds and rural and urban areas
- Cross-sectoral and multi-level. Appointed by the Rural and Urban Policy Committees
- Facilitates discussion – but lacks resources and power

*Why not (pure) CLLD in ESF and ERDF? CLLD, especially multi-fund, is considered **complicated**. Different rules in different funds. Traditionally ESF and ERDF value **bigger** projects and offer lesser role for community-led actions and 3rd sector. The amount of funding is rather small in FI – **resistance** to apply new elements and Provinces don't want to "lose **power**".*