



Group 3- 1st round

## Exploring experiences of Monitoring Committees and highlight examples of good practice.

### Relevant experiences

IE- 2 MC meetings already (2nd on eval plan). Always in Dublin in person. Focus on implement of CSP and issues / evaluation. Organise **field visit to a farm and promote informal engagement**. What about hybrid visits / other approach to engage informally

NL - always to have **in-person meetings** twice a year. Follow on Friday and informal lunch afterwards. Follow the requirements of the EC. Me to discuss CSP changes. Not easy to fo field visits due to limited time. Stakeholder meetings twice a year and these send a representative in the MC.

DE - Similar to IE, 2 National MC meetings (1 virtual 1 physical). Always try in-person. Invited a repr. from an organisation funded by the ERDF? and how they benefit from the funds. Found it helpful for the MC. Not possible to do a field visit, but they would like to. Try to find a balance representation - had a call for MC members from relevant sectors... Have 13 regional MCs. National level organisation in National and the regional provide input to the national MC

ES - Andal RD Netw.: In regional committees, the LAGs are heard and acknowledged but at National level, RD and LAGs are not important, probably due to small budget. Need to be heard further at National level.

COPA - (Wallonia): The MC works like in the NL. Discuss morning and lunch and filed visit in the afternoon to discuss with farmers. **Always in-person** and have **small meetings** for 2 hours small meetings to discuss about CSP modif.

CY - Follow also the diary and open to the 'newcomers' and get new approaches like electronic voting and face to face meetings. There are partners who would like to join but there should be a limit. Try to share investments, good practices from the previous programming period. 3rd of the previous MC are in the new. Amendments to have more stakeholder to cover new areas. Farmers are objecting - always a debate farming vs overall objective of CAP. Had a shared MC? for RDP and then for CAP.

NL - Most MC member come from public/organisation but it is possible to compensate if someone needs it.

HU - Had an MC meeting for both the CSP and also RDP combined. Good chance to have informal discussions with EC representatives (networking is important to exchange). It was a new thing to involve Pillar 1 stakeholders in the MC and had to find out who they are and include them. The modification of the CSP they are in process of 1st. Used written consultations. Salary for participation?

LU - had ad-hoc meetings to discuss stakeholders the evaluation plan. Capacity building is not so much for the MC. Simplification at MS and CAP level. Informal capacity building during the meetings to explain the topics.

LU - A challenge was that there were not enough people to participate and they are busy. 2 functions one MC on CSP and one on CAP network, so separate the discussions. Try to have more collaborative approaches. Try to combine with lunch and field visit. Had a smaller group on the draft evaluation plan. Ask for a deputy member as replacement.

LV - The members are not so active. Difficult to make decision. They do hybrid meetings to allow for more voices to be heard. Meet once a year, but can do more meetings if needed.

IT - Farmers association. Met in the summer. It was about the CSP modif. MA described the proposal and discussed their comments. Negative was that not all proposals were considered.

Advisory serv. DE - It is a big change in DE due to the regional/national MCs. The National MC is now responsible for both pillars and had a call so new organisations joined. Multilevel networking is key and leads to many participants. Some field trips and coffee breaks. Need for capacity building for new comers/interventions.

### Examples

IE -Agenda and docs shared far in advance.

NL - informal meetings in advance to discuss the issues before the MC meeting

ES- always have up-to-date data/information available for MC members so that they can reflect to them.

IE - when a member cant attend they ask for a replacement

CY - Trying to bring in observers to inform the public.

HU - CSP modif are too technical. So small groups might be helpful. Make the content more accessible.

IE -Gender balance - ask orga to propose a male and female representative

Possible to cover costs.