



Group 2 - 2nd round

## Identify and explore early models of implementation

How can we enable the effective operation of Monitoring Committees?

Examples/ comments

Challenges

Good Practices

Stakeholders try to use meetings for their interest.

Thinking about how these meetings are conducted - taking into consideration a **facilitator**

number of interests of groups using at their convenience, **lobbying for their interest.**

show common **data outcomes**, having some sort of dashboards where people can see progress made and engage more in their work, while reducing the need to go more into details during meetings.

Important for people that the data given are used, help them to be more involved.

be transparent on the metric used.

data are often provided at a single national level - sometimes this is meaningless.

some of the metrics are useful but do not give enough info about effectiveness.

Provide data in a way that people can understand them.

share data, but if too technical hard to fit in the work of MC.

Most of MCs members already familiar with CSP content, others new to it

important role of **capacity building**: exchanges can also happen during breaks, informal meetings, working groups

resources are limited

set-up a structure so that rules are very clear. Also the agenda needs to be clear and aims foreseen.

stakeholders engagement effective if people recognise their contribution in this.

make clear the **task and rules of MC** from the beginning, help people know their role and functions.

sometimes people not very knowledgeable about CSP and rules behind, this can lead to discussion void of content.

help from external experts

**Size issue**: difficult having a MC that is effective and inclusive. If very inclusive with a large partnership very big Committees, but not always useful - many different opinions and hard to give answers - becomes not effective

**Selection process**: -nomination process, invite orgs to nominate a representative to be member in the MC. Decided on their needs but without excluding other orgs.

sometimes no open calls or new selection because already good inclusion and variety of stakeholders.

having **dedicated groups** - i.e. with regions, NGOs, in order to discuss in advance about subjects.

sometimes these **facilitators** can come from MAs.

having some facilitators - when discussions too into details, remind the scope of MC

real representation of the body relevant for the MC. previous experiences where bodies representing small realities and capacities.

formal group created at the beginning of the discussions to coordinate with regional MC