EU CAP NETWORK

Thematic Group on
CAP Strategic Plans: Monitoring Committees
1st TG meeting
25/09/2023

Group 2- 1st round

Exploring experiences of Monitoring Committees and highlight examples of good practice.

Examples/comments

Challenges

Good Practices

Relevant experiences

create space for informal exchanges and partnership.

environment of trust, constructive discussion can take pace, and where the MC is aware of its tasks

use a simple language and keep members informed about the follow-up of procedures i.e. adoption of amendments

feedback very impo to continue and think that MC are interesting.

schedule meetings beforehand, so members know when meeting take place.

positive aspects: participants can get an overall view of participants opinion

make clear the role of MC from the beginning and spread knowledge about the new program

keep good balance between organisations, private and public, environmental and social.

opportunity to improve what did not work before, people interested in the MC role.

request inclusion of certain topics in advance to include them on a meeting or request a meeting.

informal meetings with members of MC, so members are already informed about next discussions

important in this period to let MC members understand the importance of national CSP in regionalised countries, not used to this context

Linking the regional and national MC with clear set of rules.

mix of MC from previous program and new one and discussions about implementation models at the regional level.

Examples

Challenges:
- equal gender representation
- equally engage stakeholders in discussions, some more active than others.

At the same time, difficult to find balance, to give voices to everyone

submit a survey in order to know the expectations and their knowledge about CSP. This can help to target the discussions

main challenge: how to keep meetings focused on strategic issues, details sometimes too technical and dicussions hard to follow

at the beginning MC was full, but then less interest in the work.

maybe working by sub/groups in order to stimulate **engagement.**

keep meetings interested and combine them with study visits help to keep members engaged.

having a facilitator, put people together, animate the discussions and make sure that all the voices are heard.

hard engaging people because of time schemes, deadlines and modifications.

timing issue: need of more time to communicate with stakeholders about modifications and hear their opinions.

schedule of the amendments process is tied but also heavy. reading consultations takes time and not the right way to use MC. need for more flexibility

Provide documents and information needed on time