Group 3

What are the **current governance structures** that aim to involve stakeholders from forest-dominant areas?



Proposal of a public consultation: monitoring and inventory of needs in forestry

Platforms to promote the discussions in countries Cooperation and asociation at different levels

Forest owner association in Finland

Cooperation and coordination between stakeholders are needed (Lithuania) Partificular governance structures in different countries

Local perspective from Sweden: different ways of governance and dialog, involving forest owners, NGO,

Forestry funds: priotiry to conservation, not taking into account the forestry as bussines from an economic perspective (Elegibility for funding)

Ministery governnance in Germany (Bavaria)

Open public consultation (Lithuatia): umbrela organisations, advisory services, etc.

Group 3



What **needs** and issues facing forestdominant rural areas and municipalities are **not being addressed** through these existing processes?

How could **networking** help address the gaps and **strengthen the existing situation?**

Different challenges to achieve

Efficient process in places?

Multi funtionality of forest

Forest owners look for economic results Specific social dialog group

Need of the discussion about the tension between production and conservation in forestry (Sweden)

Conflict between conservation and production (NGO, producers, governments, ...)

Difficult to see the holistic approach in forestry

Neccesary the communication between rural areas and EU

Improvement: forest depending of rural areas

Need of a fustainable forest management (Lithuania)

Advisory service to link forest owners and Ministery in Latvia (networking)

Training and providing information to forest owner in Latvia (LLKC)

More information available is needed for forestry management (forums, dialog, etc.)