Group 2

DESIGN of CSPs:
Approaches to networking and engagement contributed to the design of CSPs?

EU CAP NETWORK
Thematic Group on
CAP Strategic Plans: Towards Implementation
1st TG meeting
14/03/2023

Importance of the evaluation (including the first pillar) & one communication plan

key role of the monitoring committee (for changing/imrpoving the CSP)

One SP where all the info are there - more transparency

Approaches

Stakeholder Influence

Roadmaps published at the beginning of the process sharing the way of engagement

NRN - Organising thematic workshops with different stakeholders in order to get the different needs (useful for the MA to have the outcomes)

To follow the adopted frame

Being inclusive & to include from the beginning

To avoid big meeting - better smaller ones dealing with one topic

facilitate the info sharing via dedicated meetings & database

setting working groups/experts groups engaging on the technical aspects

not only the design phase but also the amending one

Position papers discussed with partners

Having preparatory workshops allowed to take into account all need (but was also a challenge)

Link between the involvement of stakeholders & quality (in Slovakia yes)

To keep in mind the subsidiarity process

Issue: in some MS engagement was good in some bad

Negative: Complex process - not successful everywhere - text not always understandable

Issue: Long strategic plan - hard to find the relevant info in this

Issue: agreement between all involved stakeholders

Issue: large stakeholders fora (just for ticking the box) but with little opportunity to engage (e.g. in Italy)

Question: how to have effective discussions

Question: on the number of stakeholders to involve & how to better frame the meeting

Issue: eco-schemes approach - hard to evaluate after one year